



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,904	03/11/2004	James Michael McArdle	AUS920031066US1	7024
48916	7590	09/13/2007		
Greg Goshom, P.C. 9600 Escarpment Suite 745-9 AUSTIN, TX 78749			EXAMINER CAO, PHUONG THAO	
			ART UNIT 2164	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/13/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,904	MCARDLE, JAMES MICHAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong-Thao Cao	2164	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Phuong-Thao Cao, Examiner. (3) Gregory K. Goshorn, Attorney (Reg. No. 44,721).  
 (2) Sana Al-Hashemi, Primary Examiner. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 6,13,20 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Denoue et al. (Publication No US 2003/0051615).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney presented an argument that Denoue does not explicitly suggest or teach the copying of data from one source to two destinations. Examiner pointed to paragraph [0091] of Denoue for the teaching of printing data element and its metadata separately. In conclusion, no agreement has been reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**SANA AL-HASHEMI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required